



ETHICS BULLETIN

VOLUME 20

JANUARY/FEBRUARY 2018

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS—PRIVATE SECURITY

To increase the public’s trust, the Oregon legislature has mandated the Board on Public Safety Standards and Training establish minimum standards for all private security providers in the state. The minimum standards for this program are defined in Oregon Administrative Rule Chapter 259, Division 60. The Department of Public Safety Standards and Training (Department) is responsible for certifying and licensing private security professionals and managers who meet all of the Board-established standards, and for denying or revoking the certification or licensure of those who do not meet or fall below the standards.

The Professional Standards Ethics Bulletin has been developed as an educational tool aimed at providing insight and transparency into situations involving applicants for certification or licensure and current providers who may have violated the minimum standards. This publication is meant to provide insight into the types of behaviors that resulted in revocation, denial, suspension or civil penalty of private security certification and licensure over the last two months. The bulletin details the conduct and the resulting Department action. The names of the individuals in this bulletin have been omitted to ensure focus remains on the behavior. This is a sampling of cases and not meant to describe all past actions taken by the Department over the last two months.

Questions about these incidents or about the Department processes and procedures can be directed to Private Security (503) 378-8531 or dpsst.security@state.or.us.

JANUARY /FEBRUARY STATISTICS

Currently Certified and Licensed Private Security Providers in Oregon: 20,112

Professionals

Alarm Monitor Professionals 2,609

Armed/Unarmed Professionals 1,645

Unarmed Professionals 14,259

Managers

Executive Managers 937

Supervisory Managers 1,205

Instructors

Unarmed Instructors 397

Alarm Monitor Instructors 119

Firearms Instructors 51

Professional Standards Cases Opened: 137

Professional Standards Cases Closed: 120

TO VIEW PRIOR ETHICS BULLETINS VISIT
[HTTP://WWW.OREGON.GOV/DPSST/PS/PAGES/ETHICSBULLETINS.ASPX](http://www.oregon.gov/DPSST/PS/PAGES/ETHICSBULLETINS.ASPX)

PLEASE DISSEMINATE THIS INFORMATION TO ALL PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS
<https://www.oregon.gov/DPSST/PS/pages/index.aspx>
503-378-2100

THE FOLLOWING CASES HAVE RESULTED IN **REVOCAION OR DENIAL** OF CERTIFICATIONS
AND LICENSES BY DPSST IN **JANUARY/FEBRUARY 2018**

Applicant 1, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Aggravated Theft in the First Degree prior to submitting an application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 1 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.**

Applicant 2, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Criminal Mischief in the Second Degree on two separate occasions prior to application. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on multiple mandatory disqualifying convictions. **Applicant 2 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.**

Applicant 3, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Theft in the Second Degree prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 3 withdrew the application and the case was administratively closed.**

Applicant 4, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Assault in the Fourth Degree prior to application for certification. The Applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial for a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 4 withdrew the application and the case was administratively closed.**

Applicant 5, an Alarm Monitor Professional was convicted of Carrying a Concealed Weapon in another jurisdiction when they took multiple knives to a courtroom prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 5 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.**

Applicant 6, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of two counts of Assault in the Third Degree prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on mandatory disqualifying convictions. **Applicant 6 withdrew the application and the case was administratively closed.**

Applicant 7, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Forgery in the First Degree and Criminal Mischief in the Second Degree. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on mandatory disqualifying convictions. **Applicant 7 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.**

Applicant 8, an Unarmed Professional and Executive Manager was convicted of Theft of Property in another jurisdiction prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 8 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.**

Applicant 9, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Possession of Controlled Substance in Schedule II prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 9 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.**

Applicant 10, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Driving Under the Influence of Drugs and Grand Theft prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 10 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.**

Applicant 11, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Menacing prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 11 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.**

Applicant 12, an Alarm Monitor Professional was convicted of Battery and multiple counts of Theft in another jurisdiction prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 12 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.**

Applicant 13, an Armed/Unarmed Professional was convicted of Recklessly Endangering Another Person prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 13 subsequently withdrew the application and the case was administratively closed.**

Applicant 14, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Interfering with Public Transport prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Applicant 14 subsequently withdrew the application and the case was administratively closed.**

Provider A, an Unarmed Professional was arrested and convicted of Recklessly Endangering Another and Reckless Burning. Provider A was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on mandatory disqualifying convictions. **Provider A failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Revoked.**

Provider B, an Executive Manager, Unarmed Instructor and Armed/Unarmed Professional was convicted of Theft in the First Degree after stealing from their employer. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Provider B failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Revoked.**

Provider C, an Armed/Unarmed Professional was convicted of Using a Child in a Display of Sexually Explicit Conduct. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Provider C failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Revoked.**

Provider D, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Recklessly Endangering Another Person, while performing security duties. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Provider D failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Revoked.**

Provider E, an Unarmed Professional was arrested and charged with Attempted Murder, Assault in the First Degree and Burglary in the First Degree. The Department placed the provider under emergency suspension pending the outcome of the court case. **Provider E's certification expired prior to the close of the court action and the case was administratively closed.**

Provider F, an Unarmed Professional was arrested and charged with Coercion, Assault in the Fourth Degree, Constituting Domestic Violence. The Department placed the provider under review pending the outcome of the court case. The provider was convicted of Assault in the Fourth Degree, Constituting Domestic Violence. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Provider F failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Revoked.**

Provider G, an Unarmed Professional was terminated for a violation of the Private Security Services Providers Act. The employer had documented evidence that the provider stole money and goods from his employer. By preponderance of evidence, the review of the records showed the provider did not meet the core values. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on discretionary disqualifying conduct and given an opportunity to submit mitigating information. **Provider G failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Revoked.**



DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
STANDARDS AND TRAINING

4190 Aumsville Hwy. SE
Salem, Oregon 97317

Phone: 503-378-8531
Fax: 503-378-4600

YOU CAN FIND US AT:

[HTTP://WWW.OREGON.GOV/
DPSST/PS](http://www.oregon.gov/dpsst/ps)

Our mission is to promote excellence in public safety by delivering quality training and developing and upholding professional standards for police, fire, corrections, parole and probation, and telecommunications personnel, in addition to certifying and licensing private security providers and licensing private investigators in Oregon.

DPSST also regulates and licenses polygraph examiners, determines sheriff candidates' eligibility to run for office and provides staffing for the Public Safety Memorial Fund. We strive to provide resources and certification programs that public safety officers and local public safety organizations need to maintain the highest professional skill standards, stewardship and service to Oregon's communities and citizens. These services are based at our 236-acre academy and extend across the state through a network of regional training coordinators.

Agency functions are guided by several Oregon Revised Statutes and our authority is defined specifically in Chapter No. 259 of the Oregon Administrative Rules. We are governed by a 24-member Board and five discipline-specific policy committees; we serve more than 41,000 public safety constituents across the state.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Linsay Hale, *Professional Standards Division Director*

Phone: 503-378-2427

Email: linsay.hale@oregon.state.us

Suzu Herring, *Private Security/Investigator/Polygraph Program Manager*

Phone: 503-378-2148

Email: suzzane.herring@state.or.us

Karen Evans, *Trainer/Investigator*

Phone: 503-378-8529

Email: karen.evans@state.or.us

Carissa White, *Compliance Investigator*

Phone: 503-378-2581

Email: carissa.white@state.or.us

Julie Johnson, *Compliance Specialist 2*

Phone: 503-378-8533

Email: julie.johnson@state.or.us

To view the Oregon Administrative Rules for Private Security please visit:
<https://secure.sos.state.or.us/oard/displayDivisionRules.action?selectedDivision=834>